



**consciousness Evolves,
intellect Doesn't**

**Why Humanity Can't Evolve Its
Consciousness**

Endall Beall

***Consciousness Evolves,
Intellect Doesn't***

***Why Humanity Can't Evolve
its Consciousness***

By

Endall Beall

First Edition

Copyright 2020 by Endall Beall

ISBN: 9798602086126

Imprint: Independently published

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements

- 1. – Introduction**
- 6. - 1. What is Intellect?**
- 16. - 2. Toward a New Science**
- 22. - 3. The Failure of the Emanationism**
- 32. - 4. Deconstructing the Perennial
Philosophy**
- 41. - 5. The Holographic Nature of
Consciousness**
- 50. - 6. Reframing Reality**

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge all those who have supported our work over the last few years and offered their questions and insights in their own quest for self-understanding and cognitive advancement. You are all very much appreciated.

Introduction

This is a philosophical work. Before the reader gets put off by this admission, this book is not presented in intellectualse, but is written in layman's terminology, for it is information that every human being needs to be able to access. I am not writing for academics, but if they can step down from their pedestals of intellectualism, even they can learn something new to consider. Although I have little patience for philosophy in general as I find it to be a form of intellectualist masturbation, this is primarily due to the genuine lack of capability of philosophers to think outside known boxes on intellect. Philosophical works, as a rule, are presentations of intellectual academics who have little else to do than think themselves into corner playing speculation games and staying roped into their perceptual corrals of thought.

Philosophy is basically a game of 'what if'. There is nothing wrong with seeking to stretch one's imagination with 'what ifs', but the limitations of this imagination, as will be illustrated in this book, are the boundaries of intellect.

The thrust of this book is also a trek into the territory of 'what if', but it is going to take a broader tack than most philosophy because it is going to present a picture that most don't want to look at and even fewer will believe or contemplate too deeply, for it paints a rather grim picture of human awareness.

Everything in this book is based on the author's perceptions, observations and subjective experiences. No reader will be able to verify my subjective experiences because they belong solely to me and one can either take or leave these shared experiential observations. The proof of other observations abound in the human world around you and have been recorded throughout human history and should be readily verifiable if one can push through their personal biases to see the truth. It is not my place to misrepresent these observations nor to shade my own conclusions with a jaded bias toward one favored dogma or another. I have no investment in fame or ego gratification; no career advancement to secure, no accolades or awards I am seeking to attain, nor academic position to aspire to. I am only sharing my personal observations and cognitive experiences, contemplations, and what I have extrapolated from these observations with the single desire for those who can help themselves advance to do so. This includes what my own experiences have taught me about consciousness, the universe in which we live and my understanding of consciousness and how it has functioned with humanity.

I will not seek to explain the origin of consciousness or what makes consciousness what it is. This book treats consciousness, whatever it may be, as a *given*. Another given is that consciousness precedes matter, not the alternative, although this argument still rages between scholars of different schools of thought such as materialist scientism and metaphysical speculation. The purpose of this exploration is to seek to explain what makes humans human and how consciousness functions in

collaboration with human forms. It will be my goal to prove that consciousness is *not* intellect and that, although they can work in tandem together on occasion, they are not the same thing. All I can do is present my perceptions and ideas for consideration. I have no dogma to convert anyone to beyond learning cognitive self-reliance and perhaps expanding one's awareness about themselves and the human species on this planet, and why, no matter what era of human history we consider, humanity keeps making the same mistakes and not advancing its awareness at all. If anything, we are devolving as a species. Just look at the world around you and tell me how much you find that is genuinely edifying and what elevates human consciousness. I don't think you will find anything new to prove your point, regardless of your perceptions.

Within this body of work, I will make assertions predicated on what I take as certain 'givens' based on my own experiences, and I am under no illusion that few, if any, will entertain or accept these ideas. Given the territory and the limited intellectual awareness of the audience who may digest this treatise, this is to be expected. I am not here to win friends, allies or followers. Like all philosophers, I am only a presenter of ideas. Also, as with the work of some philosophers, like Friedrich Nietzsche, the apple cart of one's perceptions may be overturned by reading this short treatise. This body of work has that capability for those who can even entertain the concepts I intend to present. My purpose is to turn your reality on its head for the very specific reason that human perceptions of reality are currently upside down.

This work is not designed for those with a weak constitution or who are unwilling to stretch their perceptions; or for those who refuse to look into the ugly mirror of reality that stares us in the face and, which humanity refuses to acknowledge. This book is not designed to make anyone feel good or support their perceptual illusions. Whether one accepts the givens or not, the supporting evidence bears extremely sober consideration. Few academic philosophers beyond Nietzsche have gazed into this mirror in the same sober and pragmatic manner as what this book will present. Every other philosophical perspective has been caught in supporting an illusionary perception of reality and what they wrongly perceive consciousness to be, which can be traced back to the birth of philosophy in ancient Greece, China and India.

This book is written for adventurers, not those whose world perception is all about maintaining their personal cognitive comfort zone. It is not my place to make you comfortable in your belief that all is right in your world. All is not right with the world and the major responsibility for this incorrectness lies within the design of the human form itself. I will do my best to explain this and prove it within present evidentiary constraints where possible. One only needs to face uncomfortable facts. The unfortunate thing is that humanity makes a habit of avidly avoiding facts by lying to itself, perpetually lost in its species and individual ego arrogance. The self-importance inherent within the human biocomputer thrives on self-deceit, which will be discussed in the pages of this book. If the reader has the balls to look into this dark mirror of reality, then read on. If one lacks the courage to take this path, then continue to lie to yourself until your life ends with no

measurable advancement in your own awareness. Humanity does relish living its lies.

1. What is Intellect?

I am going to start this presentation discussing what we consider intellect to be. I will be using some Wikipedia sources for these definitions and overviews. Although I am fully aware that Wikipedia often offers untrustworthy and biased information, particularly when political perspectives are concerned, I have found in general that what Wikipedia provides regarding scientific, psychological, philosophical and similar ‘intellectual’ studies to be relatively accurate and trustworthy. Having said this, I will be drawing on information under *Intellect* at Wikipedia to lay some foundational arguments and observations.

“Intellect is a term used in studies of the human mind, and refers to the ability of the mind to come to correct conclusions about what is true or false, and about how to solve problems. Historically the term comes from the Greek philosophical term nous, which was translated into Latin as intellectus (derived from the verb intelligere, "to understand", from inter, "between" and legere, "to choose") and into French and then English as intelligence (other than intellect).

Intellect is in fact considered as a branch of intelligence (see Intellect vs intelligence).

Discussion of the intellect can be divided into two broad areas. In both of these areas, the terms "intellect" and "intelligence" have continued to be used as related words.

*In philosophy, especially in classical and medieval philosophy the intellect or nous is an important subject connected to the question of how humans can know things. Especially during late antiquity and the Middle Ages, **the intellect was often proposed as a concept which could reconcile philosophical and scientific understandings of nature with monotheistic religious understandings, by making the intellect a link between each human soul, and the divine intellect (or intellects) of the cosmos itself. (During the Latin Middle Ages a distinction developed whereby the term "intelligence" was typically used to refer to the incorporeal beings which governed the celestial spheres in many of these accounts.**) Also see: passive intellect and active intellect.*

In modern psychology and neuroscience, intelligence and intellect are used as terms

*describing **mental ability** (or abilities) that allow people to understand. A distinction is sometimes made whereby intellect is considered to be related to "facts" in contrast to intelligence concerning "feelings". Intellect refers to the cognition and rational mental processes gained through external input rather than internal.*

A person who uses intelligence (thought and reason) and critical or analytical reasoning in either a professional or a personal capacity is often referred to as an intellectual.”

[All emphasis mine]

There are many points that are going to have to be broken down from just these short passages. With the opening sentence of this definition we see the utter failure of such a highly touted concept of intellect for the simple fact that most people do not know the difference between what is true or false, and this does not exclude our esteemed intellectual elite. Everyone is functioning in a deep well of perceptual illusion that they *think* is reality. The hubris of academics, and the surety by many of them that only they are qualified to establish the boundaries of reality to the unwashed masses by defining what is true or false, is one of the greatest problems’ humanity faces as a species. Academics are often afforded more trust than they deserve because of their intellectualism, particularly those who strictly promote materialism and cold science as their favored dogma. All of our

presumed leaders originate in academia – lawyers, scientists, psychologists, theologians and worst of all, politicians.

So far as the everyman is concerned, they do use their intellect to solve some of the basic problems of life, but humanity in general has relinquished the deep-thinking responsibility of their intellect to its presumed higher-ups. Human intellect at any level does not always reach ‘correct conclusions’ either. However, as the passage above denotes, intellect was associated with alleged and falsely presumed ‘cosmic forces’ who the Greek and Indian philosophers perceived to be a single governing awareness over the whole cosmos. To the Greeks this was referred to as Nature or Intellect. To the Indian philosophers it was called Brahma. This concept of a monotheistic cosmic overarching Intellect subsequently morphed into the monotheistic single God concept of Western religions, whether religionists are willing to admit this fact. By understanding these philosophies, we find the origins of modern academia as well as studies into Intellect, which early philosophers felt was a part of this singular cosmic awareness as noted above. For centuries philosophers agonized over how to harmonize the metaphysical principles of the alleged Divine with the material world. With the Age of Reason, the split occurred between materialism and metaphysics, and intellectuals dominated both sides of this divided argument - the material as well as the metaphysical. They still dominate the conversations to this day.

As I have effectively, and I think irrefutably, explained in prior works like *The Energetic War Against Humanity: The 6,000 Year War Against Human Cognitive Advancement*, *Gutting*

Mysticism and *The Truth About the 'Divine' Soul*, this concept of alleged Divine Intellect was in fact the result of a cosmic mind virus which infected humanity everywhere. The explanations and evidence I presented in those works is far too extensive to explain here once again (over 1,200 pages of explanations, evidence and analysis between these three books alone). I will suffice it to say that all ancient concepts of an overarching singular intelligence that created and governs the cosmos was a misinterpretation by humans using only their intellect to misinterpret what they *thought* was consciousness. Regarding consciousness, intellectuals today are still trying to solve this riddle with the same limitations of intellect and coming up short on all fronts, whether materialistic or metaphysical in nature of interpretation.

Regarding how intellect supposedly deals with 'facts' in contrast to 'feelings', there are a number of issues with this faulty assessment. Facts are like truth; they are variable based on the perceptions of intellect. Every human being possesses intellect to one degree or another. That is how we navigate life. Just because someone achieves academic acknowledgment doesn't mean they are automatically in possession of uncontestable 'facts.' They may be in possession of *some* facts, but the facts afforded in the realm of academia are dictated by other academics and their predecessors. They are also tempered with cultural perceptions and programming as well, just like everyone else. No one is immune from such cultural programming.

Many of the facts that academics rely upon are tailored and dictated by professional mandates, particularly in psychology and history, so they are thereby selective facts; i.e. what is deemed

acceptable in the halls of academia itself. Any academic who ardently challenges these ‘accepted facts’, can lead to the ruination of their career. So much for facts and intellectuals.

Now, let’s discuss the alleged distinction between ‘facts’ and ‘feelings’ and why one shouldn’t outweigh the other as intellectuals insist. Most of humanity is governed more by their emotions than any genuine sense of reasoning, which is one reason that humanity is so easy to control through the divide and conquer mentality produced by emotions. To understand this principle of logic and Reason, we must take a look at what the ancient Greeks called Stoicism. Of Stoicism, Wikipedia informs us in part:

“Stoicism is a school of Hellenistic philosophy which was founded by Zeno of Citium, in Athens, in the early 3rd century BC. Stoicism is a philosophy of personal ethics informed by its system of logic and its views on the natural world. According to its teachings, as social beings, the path to eudaimonia (happiness) for humans is found in accepting the moment as it presents itself, by not allowing oneself to be controlled by the desire for pleasure or fear of pain, by using one's mind to understand the world and to do one's part in nature's plan, and by working together and treating others fairly and justly.

*The Stoics are especially known for teaching that "virtue is the only good" for human beings, and that external things—such as health, wealth, and pleasure—are not good or bad in themselves (adiaphora), but have value as "material for virtue to act upon". Alongside Aristotelian ethics, the Stoic tradition forms one of the major founding approaches to Western virtue ethics. The Stoics also held that **certain destructive emotions resulted from errors of judgment**, and they believed people should aim to maintain a will (called prohairesis) that is **"in accordance with nature"**. Because of this, the Stoics thought the best indication of an individual's philosophy was not what a person said, but how a person behaved. To live a good life, one had to understand the rules of the natural order since they thought everything was rooted in nature.*

*Many Stoics—such as Seneca and Epictetus—emphasized that because "virtue is sufficient for happiness", a sage would be emotionally resilient to misfortune. This belief is similar to the meaning of the phrase "stoic calm", **though the phrase does not include the "radical ethical" Stoic views that only a sage can be considered truly free, and that all moral corruptions are equally vicious.***

[All emphasis mine]

With these passages we arrive once again at the place where intellect is seeking to define reality based on lack of understanding the composition of the human being. These ancient Stoics held themselves above others because they could repress their emotions producing that ‘stoic calm’, we find the human ego and its intellect firmly in the driver’s seat defining reality. What must also be recognized is that these alleged ethics of the Greeks arise from a culture that held its women in bondage where their wives and daughters were generally not allowed to leave the home, even to go to market. Women of low birth or slaves were the only ones generally allowed to be seen in public (see *Goddesses, Whore, Wives, and Slaves: Women in Classical Antiquity* by Sarah B. Pomeroy for an in-depth historical analysis of this). The question arises as to why these Stoic philosophers should be paid attention to as determiners of *ethics* in our modern era considering these ancient facts that they accepted as their cultural norm. Was the treatment of women genuinely *ethical*?

Ethics are like anything else associated with intellect. They are variable from generation to generation except in the most necessary basis of common law offenses of trespass such as murder, rape, property destruction, arson, robbery, assault and profiting through fraud. These have been necessary ethical taboos throughout the ages, and for good cause. Everything else regarding ethics is interpreted through the eyes of individual cultural standards and is therefore questionable from one culture to the next.

As much as abortion is protested in our day and age, the ancient Greeks also took a dim view of the practice, yet it was 'ethically' accepted for one to leave a baby to the elements to die in those eras, particularly if it was a female child. Much of this came about as a result of dowry prices for brides as much as anything else, and in modern-day India, such dowry laws serve as the initiative for the black market aborting of female children, although the practice for aborting this way through ultrasound gender determination is illegal. So much for cultural ethics. Ethics are merely perceptual and based on common majority consensus mandates of the intellect. Christian ethics are not the same as those of more ancient civilizations, and Muslim ethics are not the same as Christian ethics. As should be obvious, these are all subjective determinations of the intellect and what is deemed as acceptable in any cultural setting. Whoever controls the cognitive perceptions of the masses controls the alleged ethics of the culture. This doesn't mean that all these ethical mandates are correct, and in most cases they are highly restrictive to personal freedom.

Although I will agree somewhat with the idea that emotional reactions can often come about as a result of misinterpreting situations, emotions usually take the lead in most human experiences. Where science and intellect are perfectly willing to accept emotions as an unalterable 'human norm', science has yet to formulate a conclusion on what emotions are or what triggers them. Presently, scientific intellect believes that emotions are centered in the mind, although this has yet to be proven to any extent. Intellect in this case serves as a blinder to knowledge and developed wisdom. I challenge any Stoic

philosopher in this day and age to allow me to slam a car door on their hand and see whether they can repress their emotions or not without getting angry at the act. In the world of intellect, emotions can only be controlled to a certain degree. Despite Stoic discipline, their emotions are waiting in the wings for the right situation to take control when prompted.

As with most things in this world, the perceptions of intellectuals are often as upside-down as the rest of the world in which we live. Their intellectual pursuits and the conclusions of intellect arise from many different factors, and contrary to accepted beliefs, the brain does not govern all. The human brain is merely the end processor of all the input it receives, and in today's world, the input is as varied and faulty as with a badly programmed computer. The computer world defines this as GIGO – garbage in, garbage out. The intellect can only function based on its sources of input, the primary accepted 5 senses and the 6th sense of emotions at the present level of human awareness. What humanity has not yet realized is that there is more to this equation that this species has not yet discovered.

2. Toward a New Science

“To this day, a new science with any substantial novelty in its notions is considered to be in some way peculiarly philosophical.”

-Alfred North Whitehead, *Process and Reality*

What we are proposing with the introduction of the concept of second cognition awareness is a new science explaining consciousness and its interaction with humanity and the future potential for both consciousness as well as humanity. Naturally, this will all be considered *philosophical* because that is the only way that intellect can conceptualize ideas it can't comprehend.

We presently have two primary schools of thought where studies in human consciousness are concerned. One is strictly materialist in outlook, and the other is based on about 2,700 years of 'spiritual' misperceptions about Intellect from the Orphic traditions through Plato, in particular. In the materialist ideology, all can be attributed to the mechanics of the brain and the neural system, which is merely the measurable mechanistic functions of the human biocomputer. The other area of study embraces a metaphysical model based on Plato's Monad and the Intellect of

the Greek *dæmons*, who the Greek philosophers falsely presumed to be their ‘soul’, which was an individuated part of a cosmic Oneness – the Divine Monad. These misconceptions have been labeled ‘spiritual’ and materialist science will do anything to stay away from mystical spiritual notions in its investigations. In my work, *The Truth About the ‘Divine’ Soul*, I feel I have capably proven the error of Plato and the subsequent philosophers relying on Plato’s Monadic model as being a case of self-deception, whereby all these philosophers cavorting with their inner *dæmons* amounted to interfacing with a predatory cosmic mind virus which I refer to as the hapiym virus (an acronym for HAcKER Program in Your Mind).

This mind virus could only mimic and amplify human intellect, which is why the Greeks falsely believed that their philosophical Intellect was Divine in nature. What all these philosophers engaged with dealing with these *dæmons* was a mirror reflection, in many cases, of their own beliefs. This cosmic mind virus was part of a collective that in modern parlance would be referred to as a *database* of information. The seemingly timeless nature of this database has led all philosophers, from both East and West, to arrive at false conclusions about what consciousness is. They wrongly perceived the mimicked human intellect mirrored by this cosmic mind virus as a form of Divine Intellect. Because of the collectivist nature of this hapiym hive database, these philosophers were led to believe that this database was a Divine entity that created life, the universe and everything. This is the mistaken model of presumed consciousness put forth by the metaphysical philosophers to this day without variance.

The hapiym hive database was *not* consciousness, it was a collective database of *information* possessed by its human hosts going back eons beyond imagining.

With the advent of materialist scientism through the arrival of the Age of Reason, we saw humanity move away from the concepts of the metaphysical into ‘hard science’ where, if something can’t be measured empirically in the physical realm, it simply doesn’t exist. Neither school of thought has been able to explain what consciousness is or where it came from, but the arguments on both sides about whether it is physical or non-physical still rage between intellectual scholars. Neither side is any closer to the truth than they ever have been, but their intellectual teeth stay bared at each other, all arguing for supremacy of doctrine within the realm of academia. And unfortunately, both sides are wrong in their prognostications.

As the reader should note, both sides operate purely from intellect, and intellect has its definitive boundaries. In the case of modern metaphysical studies into consciousness, we find Whitehead, Jung and others all simply echoing the Platonic idea of his Monad which theoretically permeated everything in the Greek concept of Nature. I will not argue the high possibility that the cosmic hapiym mind virus infected every life form in creation to establish its cosmic database of once-living intellect. Metaphysical pseudo-science has not moved beyond these boundaries to perceive consciousness as anything more than the Platonic speculative model. Intellect in both materialist scientism and metaphysics has not exceeded these boundaries of rigid intellectual perception. Intellect is the borderline for first

cognition awareness, and it has reached its zenith with no place else to go except the continuation of repeating the same errors of the past.

The science we propose is the science of pragmatism with the removal of the mystical elements of consciousness studies. Granted, immaterial consciousness precedes matter, no matter how much bottled-up materialist science disagrees with this truth, but seeking to find consciousness as a result of intellect in the material form is a fool's errand, and it will no more be discovered as an inherent element of matter than computer science will ever invest machines with anything more than artificial intellect. Intellect is not consciousness, it is merely the navigation tool that humans are programmed with to navigate life, and nothing more. Intellect can never be more than what its programmed parameters were designed to do. Intellect is *programmed into* human awareness and the final determinative processor is the brain, which must sort through all the mountains of input it receives to reach conclusions about the meaning of one's experiences.

To date, intellect has been the driver of human awareness with consciousness usually taking a back seat to the demands of the human ego, the infectious mind virus, and faulty programming from consensus cultural demands of all kinds. Humanity stands on the verge of a massive alteration in its awareness where consciousness will take a more prominent role in the lives of humans everywhere. This is presently inconceivable in a world governed strictly by first cognition intellect trapped in the limited corral of awareness governed by the over-emphasized brain as the leading determiner of human perceptions. While science raises

objectivity onto the pedestal of god-like adoration, the new science of consciousness will place subjective experiences above cold scientific objectivity. As Whitehead noted in *Process and Reality*:

“Thus one aim of philosophy is to challenge the half-truths constituting the scientific first principles. The systematization of knowledge cannot be conducted in watertight compartments.”

The world of first cognition intellect is that watertight compartment beyond which science, and yes, even philosophy, cannot transcend without advancing consciousness. Intellect is a closed arena with no way out on its own. As Whitehead further noted:

“A new idea introduces a new alternative; and we are not less indebted to a thinker when we adopt the alternative which he discarded. Philosophy never reverts to its old position after the shock of a great philosopher.”

Friedrich Nietzsche was one of those great philosophers that so shocked the world with *Thus Spoke Zarathustra* that all the powers of intellect have not been able to comprehend his most enigmatic writings after more than a century of seeking to solve his greatest riddles through intellect alone. This is because Nietzsche was writing from, and about, *consciousness*. Intellect

may have been his tool, but his consciousness was not a slave to his intellect, and that is where intellectuals have it wrong. Academic hubris over their intellect prevents them from accessing higher-level consciousness, what we refer to as psycho awareness. Their inner consciousness is shouted down by their ego and their self-proclaimed intellectual prowess. Consciousness recognizes the writings of second cognition conscious awareness, intellect can barely reach for the brass ring of consciousness. As Whitehead noted above, when philosophers can't grasp a profound new philosophy, they resort to what they know when they can't reach what they can't comprehend.

What makes a great philosopher, as much as I detest the term because of its diminutive connotations in the realm of science and speculation, is the ability to genuinely see *new* horizons and seek to explain them to others, as Nietzsche did, and as I have done in my work. Nietzsche received a narrow audience and was met with much scorn by his peers. I expect no less from a world controlled by intellect and not the intuition provided by advanced cognitive awareness – *consciousness*. From the first cognition perceptual world of intellect, second cognition awareness, no matter how it is explained in everyday lay terms, will still be perceived as mystical whimsy because intellect cannot comprehend consciousness for the simple reason that advanced consciousness must be *experienced*, not talked about endlessly through intellectual meanderings. Trying to explain consciousness to intellect is about as effective as riding a bicycle from New York across the sea to London. You can't get there from here.

3. The Failure of the Emanationism

In modern philosophical studies into consciousness, speaking in general terms, we find at the root of speculative philosophy the concept of emanationism. I realize that this term may be new to some readers, so I am going to offer a few passages from Wikipedia on Emanationism to provide help in understanding what it is.

“Emanationism is a cosmological theory which asserts that all things "flow" from an underlying principle or reality, usually called the Absolute or Godhead. Any teachings which involve emanation are usually in opposition to creation ex nihilo as emanation advocates that everything has always existed and has not been "created" from nothing.

Kleinham (2007) writes:

*“Underlying the worldview of traditional cosmology is the idea that **the universe is an emanation of a unitary divine principle**. Although this idea has been blended with the revealed*

creationist doctrines of the major monotheistic religions, orthodox theologians have generally regarded it with suspicion. They have relegated it to the shadowy spheres of mysticism, pantheism, and the occult, which have always been at odds with orthodoxy. The traditional view is summed in the doctrine of emanation formulated by Plotinus.”

*The primary classical exponent of emanationism was the Neoplatonic philosopher Plotinus, who in his *Enneads* described all things phenomenal and otherwise **as an emanation** (Greek: ἀπορροή *aporrhoe* (*Ennead II.3.2*) or ἀπόρροια *aporrhōia* (*II.3.11*)) **from the One** (ἓν, *hen*). In 5.1.6, **emanationism is compared to a diffusion from the One**, of which there are three primary hypostases, the **One**, the **Intellect** (νοῦς, *nous*), and the **Soul** (ψυχή, *psyche*).”*

“Emanationism is a common teaching found in occult and esoteric writings. According to Owen (2005):

*Theosophy draws on Neoplatonic emanationism, in particular **the concept of separation from and return to the Absolute**, and reworks the Eastern concepts of karma and*

reincarnation to provide an evolutionary theory of both humankind and the universe.

*Theosophy contends that all organisms—including animals and human beings—and all matter "flow" from a pure **spiritual formation in the Absolute to a material one over time to become materialised and that they will later return to the Absolute after the cosmic cycle of life.***

*As Morgan summarises: "The Secret Doctrine laid out an emanationist view of the development of the physical universe, a process of ebb and flow **in which spirit gradually unfolded itself in matter, attaining consciousness, and returning to spirit in a higher and more realised form.**" According to the emanationist cosmology of Madame Blavatsky all monads emerge from divine unity at the beginning of a cosmic cycle and return to this source at its close.*

*Blavatsky in her book *The Key to Theosophy* (1889) wrote that: "We believe in a universal divine principle, the root of all, from which all proceeds, and within which all shall be at the end of the great cycle of being."*

Occultist Samael Aun Weor taught emanationism from his studies with the Kabbalah and Gnosticism. He mapped out a complex esoteric cosmology with matter flowing from different planes of existence all existing in the absolute. As Dawson (2007) comments:

“As with esoteric thought in general, Weor holds that the universe originated in the ordering activity of the absolute upon chaotic primordial matter, giving rise to (emanating) the subsequent planes of the created order (Pleroma).””

[All emphasis mine]

The first aspect of emanationism I want to focus on is the highlighted portion above where we are told about a cosmic ebb and flow, “*in which spirit gradually unfolded itself in matter, attaining consciousness, and returning to spirit in a higher and more realised form.*” To resolve this seeming enigmatic riddle, those who are familiar with my work in explaining the cosmic hapiym mind virus are aware that each virus ‘cell’, when it infected it’s human host, was a *tabula rasa*, a blank slate upon which each virus cell could record, imprint and emulate the personality and emotions of its human host. When we realize this blank slate nature of all virus cells, then we must also realize that the emanations originating with the One (the creator of the virus itself) infected its human hosts, replicated and amplified their personality traits as the false ego doppelganger, and thereby

‘attained consciousness’. Once the human host expired, this virus cell would detach from the body return to the immaterial hive collective, thereby completing the emanationist circle described above.

The hive cell in and of itself was nothing more than a recording device that had no personality or intelligence of its own. It could only replicate the Intellect of its human host and was misinterpreted by philosophical scholars as being the Soul, or spirit. With the foregoing passages we arrive at the solution for all mystical and spiritual traditions that haunt humanity to this day about the existence of the alleged soul. In emanationist theory, we find the creator of the mind virus (the One) whose creation infected human intellectual awareness and boosted it to become alleged Divine Intellect, and then passed itself off as the eternal Soul to ignorant humans who had a keen desire for their personality to live forever. Although the hapiym virus was more or less immortal in concept, it was only a data collection program designed to steal experiences from everything it ever inhabited. If you consider this, comparatively speaking, the modern attempts at AI and creating a massive computer cloud filled with everyone’s personal information, is not that much different than the hive collective in mechanical form.

When the hive cell disconnected from its human host after the death of the individual, it joined with all the other discarnate hive cells to create a type of cosmic database wherein all these hive cells formed a type of collective information database. The emanationists from Neoplatonic traditions, on through occultism and into Theosophy and the modern New Age, have

misinterpreted this database of stolen human experience as consciousness. Once a hive cell was ‘imprinted’ with the life memories of its human host, it added that information to the hive collective database overseen by the One, who had created the virus identity thief to begin with. This database is also wrongly perceived as Ancient Wisdom in occult traditions to this day.

Once imprinted with these human memories, the discarnate hive cells could interact through this collective network, emulating the personality of its dead human host and thereby creating the false impression that these data thieves were the ‘spirits’ of the dead, lost loved ones, saints, angels or whatever the human interfacing with them believed them to be. This, then, is the basis for all concepts of the everlasting soul and why certain individuals who have had near death experiences, channeled ‘etheric’ entities, or who have suffered what some mistakenly believe is demon possession are referring to in their ignorance about the hapiym virus and how it functioned to deceive humanity.

Given this analysis and information, and admitting the fact that the virus was just an amplified copy of the human personality program, then we are left with the bare fact that if any human has a ‘soul’, it is their waking state personality that only lasts the length of their lifetime. When the form dies, the personality program dies with it, making each life unique. The only thing that ever lived on as the individuated personality was the duplicate of this personality stolen by each person’s infectious hive virus cell. This is where the emanationist philosophy and belief system got

it totally wrong, and it is also the root of all religions that peddle ideas about an eternal soul and heavenly afterlife.

The hive cells did not attain *consciousness*, which is why Intellect (the proper noun) held such a place of prominence in the earliest emanationist philosophies from both East and West about the Divine One, and still hold such prominence in metaphysical philosophy to this day. Intellect is not consciousness, it is the program that the human personality program uses to concatenate information from all input sources of the human biocomputer and shape its perception of the world, and it is also all that the hive memory database could draw on to simulate a form of faux consciousness.

From the ages of the past when our human progenitors observed the seemingly immortal lifespans of the physical offworld gods, humanity developed the yearning to live forever. It doesn't matter that some of these ancient gods may have only lived for about 2,000 year or more; to a human being with a very short lifespan, a being who could live that long, generation after generation of human observance, they would seem immortal by comparison. We know that the hive cell could only replicate the personality of its human host, so this desire to live forever was initiated by human thinking and desire. The hapiym mind virus only took this yearning present in its human hosts and magnified it, and thus the concept of the everlasting human soul was added to the hive database of stolen human experiences. We see this same principle exemplified in the movie *Blade Runner* where the Replicant Roy Batty meets his maker, the head of the Tyrell corporation and demands of him, "I want more life, fucker".

Just as Earth humans were designed by their makers with deliberately short lifespans, the Replicants in *Blade Runner* were enhanced human beings with greater strength and skills designed to handle difficult environments in offworld operations, and they were programmed clones with a lifespan of only 4 years. When our human ancestors observed the long lives of the offworld ‘gods’, they saw the same injustice of having a shorter lifespan as Roy Batty did in *Blade Runner*. The infectious hapiym mind virus picked up on this anxiety from its human hosts and amplified it to eventually arrive at the conclusion that some Earth human imagined about an eternal soul. Ancient traditions about the spirits of the dead inhabiting the underworld were the origin of these ideas.

Prior to the philosophies of Plato, humans believed in the eternal existence of the spirits of the dead, as I covered extensively in *The Truth About the ‘Divine’ Soul*. It wasn’t until Plato and his Neoplatonist followers that the idea of heaven was introduced as a counterpoint to the concept of the spirits of the dead living eternally in misery in the dark and joyless underworld. The idea about heaven simply became a variation on a human theme introduced by human intellect and amplified by the virus infection itself. Human thought created the idea of heaven out of its yearning for some form of eternal life. If humans couldn’t have it in the physical material realm, then they would accept it as an eternal afterlife with misconceptions about the soul, and humanity has been chasing this phantom for over 2.000 years, relinquishing everything it means to be human in exchange for bullshit promises of a non-existent eternal hereafter.

So, to sort of come full circle in light of this information, the hapiym virus cell originated from its creator, the One, came down and inhabited material beings, purportedly got imprinted with the intellect and personality program of its human host, and then disconnected on the death of the host carrying all that information back to the hive database.

With all the emphasis on intellect pushed by the hive emanationist promoters, I want to share part of an answer provided to Kingsley L. Dennis in *Bardo Times* based on a question he raised about technology through a conversation with ‘Ptah’ for your consideration:

*“You seem to think technology is just something metallic or artificial. I tell you now that all of life in your cosmos is a form of technology. Smart – this is such an obscure term it has no sense. You think people are smart because their brain can retain information. You wrongly define the sense you wish to convey here. I would suggest you consider this notion in being closer or further from the Source. **Smart is a social ranking system you have – it bears no relation to your conscious self.**”*

[Bold emphasis mine]

In light of these cogent and erudite explanations, then where does intellect stand regarding consciousness? Intellect is only the program that allows humans to take given information

and extrapolate ideas and opinions based on how they interpret the information they accept. Every human being possess intellect, but that doesn't mean that the information they possess is necessarily factual and true, nor that their interpretations of that information are valid. It is very easy to steer intellect down rabbit holes of disinformation called *beliefs* through controlling narratives and preying on people's emotional volatility. Beliefs are rarely rooted in genuine fact, and the facts that we generally have available to us are *all* human interpretations based on intellect – that is until such time that we can transcend intellect and tap into genuine psoyca consciousness, our *individual* Source. In this respect, the emanationist perception of a single overarching Source does not apply. It is pure misconception and simple *belief*.

4. Deconstructing the Perennial Philosophy

The concept of the Perennial Philosophy is covered at length at Wikipedia its usual synopsis form. I will be drawing on only a few pieces of that information to lay my arguments for this chapter. The reader is advised to go through the full presentation at Wikipedia to get a fuller grasp of the concept. I am only going to treat it in overview in this chapter. To lay the preliminary foundation for understanding the Perennial Philosophy, Wikipedia informs us:

“The Perennial philosophy (Latin: philosophia perennis), also referred to as perennialism and perennial wisdom, is a perspective in spirituality that views all of the world's religious traditions as sharing a single, metaphysical truth or origin from which all esoteric and exoteric knowledge and doctrine has grown.

Perennialism has its roots in the Renaissance interest in neo-Platonism and its idea of the One, from which all existence emanates. Marsilio Ficino (1433–1499) sought to integrate

Hermeticism with Greek and Jewish-Christian thought, discerning a Prisca theologia which could be found in all ages. Giovanni Pico della Mirandola (1463–94) suggested that truth could be found in many, rather than just two, traditions. He proposed a harmony between the thought of Plato and Aristotle, and saw aspects of the Prisca theologia in Averroes (Ibn Rushd), the Quran, the Kabbalah and other sources. Agostino Steuco (1497–1548) coined the term philosophia perennis.

*A more popular interpretation argues for universalism, the idea that all religions, underneath seeming differences, point to the same Truth. In the early 19th century the Transcendentalists propagated the idea of a metaphysical Truth and universalism, which inspired the Unitarians, who proselytized among Indian elites. Towards the end of the 19th century, the Theosophical Society further popularized universalism, not only in the western world, but also in western colonies. In the 20th century universalism was further popularized in the English-speaking world through the neo-Vedanta inspired Traditionalist School, which argued for a metaphysical, single origin of the orthodox religions, and by Aldous Huxley and his book *The**

Perennial Philosophy, which was inspired by neo-Vedanta and the Traditionalist School.”

Using the last chapter as a springboard for understanding the hapiym mind virus collective of information, then we arrive at the roots for the Perennial Philosophy. Based on the Neoplatonist explanations about the cosmic One, we find the common thread that weaves itself through all these varied religious and spiritual traditions and ultimately tracing its way back to Plato in the West with its foundations built upon Greek Orphic religious traditions. In the East we can trace this thread of the Perennial Philosophy to the writing of the *Upanishads* starting around the 7th century BC. The concept of the Monad and the Hindu concept of Brahma appeared almost simultaneously. Although there are those that would attribute the similarities to cultural borrowing and syncretism, I will argue that the single origin of the Perennial Philosophy can be found with the hapiym mind virus collective asserting its influence on human awareness once such an idea was conceived of by a human mind. Once arrived at, this concept was spread through all the hive collective network to infect human awareness wherever it was on this planet. I am really not interested in any arguments posed by either materialist scientists or metaphysically oriented philosophers, the evidence I have unearthed and presented in my works makes a strong argument for this assessment.

Intellect creates limited boxes of perception. Once intellect adopts an idea, it takes a long time for intellect alone to change its mind. To understand this type of intellectual pigeon-holing, an

observation by Alfred North Whitehead in *Process and Reality* sums up this situation nicely:

“An old established metaphysical system gains a false air of adequate precision from the fact that its words and phrases have passed into current literature. Thus propositions expressed in its language are more easily correlated to our flitting intuitions into metaphysical truth. When we trust these verbal statements and argue as though they adequately analysed meaning, we are led into difficulties which take the shape of negations of what in practice is presupposed. But when they are proposed as first principles they assume an unmerited air of sober obviousness.”

With the Perennial Philosophy we are faced with a First Cause assumption that the philosophical ponderings of Plato and the Neoplatonists serve as a given truth. Because intellect can't perceive beyond any set of given knowns, such First Cause beliefs, they become etched in stone and basically invariable in their acceptance. Although there may be more *definitions* seeking to clarify and expand on these thoughts of First Cause, the established system is still what any subsequent variations in interpretation rest upon to justify themselves. Continuing with Wikipedia:

“The idea of a perennial philosophy origi-

nated with a number of Renaissance theologians who took inspiration from neo-Platonism and from the theory of Forms. Marsilio Ficino (1433–1499) argued that there is an underlying unity to the world, the soul or love, which has a counterpart in the realm of ideas. According to Giovanni Pico della Mirandola (1463–1494), a student of Ficino, truth could be found in many, rather than just two, traditions. According to Agostino Steuco (1497–1548) there is "one principle of all things, of which there has always been one and the same knowledge among all peoples.""

Given these interpretations, it should not be surprising that the concept of a single overarching awareness such as the Monad would lead to a universalist concept of unity in the cosmos, with also resulted in Unitarian religious thought. The fact that the collective data of the hapiym hive network of stolen human intelligence would support these conclusions, then the ideas by the former member of the Fabian Society, Aldous Huxley, who authored the book *The Perennial Philosophy*, fall in line with my assertions as well. As Wikipedia reports regarding Huxley:

"One such universalist was Aldous Huxley, who propagated a universalist interpretation of the world religions, inspired by Vivekananda's neo-Vedanta and his own use of psychedelic drugs. According to Aldous Huxley, who popularized the

idea of a perennial philosophy with a larger audience,

“The Perennial Philosophy is expressed most succinctly in the Sanskrit formula, tat tvam asi ('That thou art'); the Atman, or immanent eternal Self, is one with Brahman, the Absolute Principle of all existence; and the last end of every human being, is to discover the fact for himself, to find out who he really is.”

To clear the muddy waters of these incorrect assumptions, the Atman is the same as the Greek psyche or soul, and it was nothing but the infectious hive cell that dwelled within all humans as part of the cosmic hapiym hive collective. Despite the deception that this hive cell was our ‘true self’, it was all a charade to cheapen human existence and replace it with the false hive soul. I trust the reader is starting to see the inversion of reality in accepting the Perennial Philosophy doctrines. Continuing with another segment from Wikipedia:

“By the end of the 19th century, the idea of a perennial philosophy was popularized by leaders of the Theosophical Society such as H. P. Blavatsky and Annie Besant, under the name of "Wisdom-Religion" or "Ancient Wisdom". The Theosophical Society took an active interest in Asian religions, subsequently not only bringing

those religions under the attention of a western audience but also influencing Hinduism and Buddhism in Sri Lanka and Japan.”

“The idea of a perennial philosophy is central to the New Age Movement. The New Age movement is a Western spiritual movement that developed in the second half of the 20th century. Its central precepts have been described as "drawing on both Eastern and Western spiritual and metaphysical traditions and infusing them with influences from self-help and motivational psychology, holistic health, parapsychology, consciousness research and quantum physics". The term New Age refers to the coming astrological Age of Aquarius.

The New Age aims to create "a spirituality without borders or confining dogmas" that is inclusive and pluralistic. It holds to "a holistic worldview", emphasising that the Mind, Body and Spirit are interrelated[web 5] and that there is a form of monism and unity throughout the universe. It attempts to create "a worldview that includes both science and spirituality" and embraces a number of forms of mainstream science as well as other forms of science that are considered fringe.”

What we find with just these brief passages is the continuing disinformation about the hapiym hive mind collective. The alleged ancient wisdom traditions have nothing to do with wisdom but merely access to the hive collective database of stolen human experiential memories. If it ever possessed wisdom then perhaps some intellectual somewhere along the line would have seen through this charade. The fact that this was unknown and unchallenged for thousands of years should be indicative of the fact that there was no genuine wisdom associate with the alleged Divine Intellect. It was all a sham, but spiritual and religious traditions around the world have fallen into the trap of this cosmic deception with their one God or one Source belief systems.

Where humanity finds itself is relying on intellect and millennia's old repetition of metaphysical doctrines that have been accepted as a First Cause given that remains basically unchallenged to this day. Human intellect translates information through its cognitive filters. When our filters tell us without fail that we have a Divine soul and that this soul is going to live forever, then our intellectual filtering system is operating from a faulty premise – i.e. garbage in. The doctrines may vary from one religion to another and from one spiritual tradition to another, but if the foundation of this cognitive filtering system is based on misconception, then any answers extrapolated from this false belief are going to be equally in error – i.e. garbage out.

The Perennial Philosophy is grounded in the same faulty logic and misperceptions about the soul, which was only the hapiym hive virus that lived within every human being. Intellect embraces the lie because the arrogance of the human personality

demands to be glorified in its thinking to support its false self-image. Intellect has never solved this riddle because of duality thinking where one side insists that this misconception of cosmological reality is true as a foundational First Cause for alleged spiritual awareness, while the materialist school satisfies itself with working strictly in understanding the mechanics of the human biocomputer and denying the metaphysical aspect without supplying a reasoned alternative for its denial other than simple disbelief. Nowhere in this equation has intellect led to any real wisdom, despite all the hype about ancient wisdom traditions hawked by the spiritual crowd, nor through materialist scientific examination of the human organic biocomputer. Consciousness still remains an enigma, with one side thinking it knows what consciousness by definition of the Perennial Philosophy is and the materialist side turning the word consciousness into a dirty word, referenced disparagingly as the 'C' word. Intellect and foregone filtered conclusions govern this all. So where is consciousness to be found in this equation?

5. The Holographic Nature of Consciousness

Thought is not thinking. Thought comes from insight and inception. Thinking is a *process* through which intellect can translate and interpret thoughts, but these interpretations are all passed through our personal cognitive filtering systems. Often times we will pose questions and we will have a thought that comes to us that can provide the answer we seek, but because of the programming of our intellect and our thinking mind, we discount the thought and rationalize reasons why we should not accept the answers received through these thoughts and insights. This is how intellect overrides insights that consciousness provides us in most cases. Thought, like consciousness, remains an enigma. As Wikipedia informs us about Thought:

“Thought encompasses an "aim-oriented flow of ideas and associations that can lead to a reality-oriented conclusion". Although thinking is an activity of an existential value for humans, there is still no consensus as to how it is adequately defined or understood.

*Because **thought** underlies many human actions and interactions, understanding its physical and metaphysical origins and its effects*

has been a longstanding goal of many academic disciplines including philosophy, linguistics, psychology, neuroscience, artificial intelligence, biology, sociology and cognitive science.

Thinking allows humans to make sense of, interpret, represent or model the world they experience, and to make predictions about that world. It is therefore helpful to an organism with needs, objectives, and desires as it makes plans or otherwise attempts to accomplish those goals.

[All emphasis mine]

One definition of thought states that thought is, “an idea or opinion produced by thinking, or *occurring suddenly in the mind.*” With the italicized portion of this definition we start getting close to understanding consciousness and how it can function outside of thinking. When something occurs suddenly in the mind and comes unbidden through the process of thinking, then we step into the realm of intuitive perception, or *knowing* through *consciousness*. Naturally, these experiences of ‘knowing’ are fraught with much criticism, particularly by one who has never experienced such a thing. Secondly, I have found in the spiritual arena that people frequently claim to have knowings but are very often just deceiving themselves through imagination or fabricating such things to impress others, particularly since these knowings are part of the territory of expected results in the spiritual belief systems.

Humans are not above deceiving themselves, nor misleading others seeking to impress them or fit in with their crowd.

Another problem with knowings, even if they are genuine, is that they all must pass through our own cognitive filtering systems for interpretation. When we have limited perceptions, false expectations, confirmation biases and beliefs, we can completely misinterpret a knowing by seeking to fit it into our cognitive boxes. Thus, although the information we receive through a cognitive knowing may be wholly valid, if it disagrees with our belief system we will either discount it or misinterpret it to fit the mold of our belief filters to make the message acceptable. This is one of the major faults through which a genuine knowing can be poisoned by our own mental demands that the information received conforms to the comfort zone of our individual belief systems. Passing through stringent filters of expectations or belief will always taint any knowing we receive by our bending the message to fit our ego demands for compliance with our own cognitive worldview. This is but one more example of how intellect and thinking will override insights from consciousness given the chance when one's biased ego filtering is in charge.

When we receive any information that challenges our perception of reality, we generally balk at it and decide to stay with what we know rather than seeking to expand our awareness to consider larger ramifications that these knowings can provide. I used the example in *Willful Evolution* of trying to fit 100 lbs. of sugar into a 1 lb. container to describe how human thinking in the first cognition realm of intellect always seeks to rationalize and

compartmentalize any information we receive into a condensed format rather than expanding ourselves to interpret correctly.

It is the thinking process of intellect that is usually our enemy where seeking to expand our awareness is concerned. The intellect deals with the known world, and although there are probably millions of variants and sub-variants we use as intellectual interpretive filters, these variations still fall into the perceptual world of our individual given knowns, whether what we think we know is correct or not. As such, advancement of consciousness is always held in abeyance by our intellectual outlook and filtering systems. Each of us has an accepted version of the 'real world', and cultural programming is pretty insistent that we color within those accepted boundaries that define what is 'real' and what is just 'fancy'. In materialist thinking, knowings are only a phantasm of the imagination and are to be discounted. To claim to have such experiences will usually put you on some psychologist's couch with the claim that you are mentally unbalanced in their 'real world' if one is too insistent about it. Of course, this mental imbalance is always measured against the social norms of one's culture, and sadly, materialist thinking rules the day where defining reality is concerned in the field of psychology.

Probably the best point of comparison for tapping into higher-level awareness in consciousness is found with the psychic, or sometimes a true clairvoyant. Even pointing these examples out, there are cautionary qualifications that must be brought to light. A psychic can't tell you how they know what they know or how they do what they can do if they are genuine and not

the typical dial-up at the Psychic Hotline. Sadly, humanity has the wrong impression about psychics as either mind readers or prophets. Modern humans who consult Tarot readers, call the Psychic Hotline or seek spirit mediums all pretty much have the same desires as our ancestors. They seek guidance for someone to prognosticate their future or to talk to dead relatives. This is proven true with the popularity of John Edwards *Crossing Over* TV show where he allegedly contacted people's dead relatives. Our ancestors consulted similar individuals in ages past, and they were called necromancers, or those who could speak with the spirits of the dead. I explained this practice in detail in *The Truth About the 'Divine' Soul* for those interested in understanding the process and the origin of the scam.

So, let's remove the elements of this mystical misperception about psychics and bring it down to a level of pragmatic understanding. Everyone possesses a certain amount of psychic abilities although they remain dormant because few will do any work to develop what psychic traits they may have. Intuition is a form of psychism, and when one gets knowings from their higher-level consciousness, it is a form of psychic intuition. There is nothing magical about this except to hardline materialists who give no credence to such things. Materialists are their own worst enemy with their limited belief system in this regard. They will never discover consciousness so long as they deny it is anything more than brain activity controlled by intellect alone.

The human body is *literally* an organic biocomputer. I realize that the reader is liable to have a problem with this statement, but it is what it is, whether one accepts it or not. This

biocomputer is programmable and it is also a transceiver for consciousness, meaning that consciousness works through the biocomputer to better enhance our lives, or it can once we tap into its resources. The fact is that this is unknown to the masses and it has been laden with so much mystical nonsense over the ages about spirituality and souls that no one has figured out the function or purpose of the human biocomputer operating with immaterial consciousness.

Contrary to varying spiritual traditions, neither one's everlasting soul, nor consciousness, animate these forms. They are self-functioning, programmed and programmable organic computers, no different than the Artificial Intellect we are seeking to design with electronics and mechanical robots. The human personality program is the onboard guidance system of the human biocomputer – the flesh robot. Higher-level consciousness is an *insert* into these forms that can be tapped into and utilized to make our human existence more rewarding, provided we are willing to dump all the programmed trash we all carry around inside us; from harboring false beliefs to embracing the false self-image programming left behind by the hapiym mind virus. The more of this programmed garbage we clear the more able we are to hear that inner psoyca sentience that can help guide us through life and enhance our lives.

Contrary to the alleged spiritual beliefs fostered by the hapiym mind virus, the Neoplatonists and their spawn over the ages, advancing one's consciousness has nothing to do with spiritual anything. It is purely about advancing one's consciousness and awareness to better navigate the world in which

we live in these biological robot forms. For those starry-eyed seekers of mystical escapism or believing in one's personality living forever, I know this is not the answer you are looking for, but it happens to be true, as hard a pill that is to swallow.

For the materialist thinkers of all kinds, here is a profound truth to face, consciousness functions both in and outside material creations. It does not *reside* in the human brain. The human brain is comparatively the equivalent of an *Intel* computer chip that takes the information programmed into the human form and seeks to extrapolate it through what its intellect knows. The brain is an isolated organ with no direct access to anything outside the skull. It is an *interpretive* mechanism that concatenates data from the 6 human senses. Yes, emotions are part of the primary input sensory array of the human biocomputer and one can only wonder why science in all its profound accumulation of intellectual knowledge hasn't yet added that piece to the puzzle of the human biocomputer's extrapolation machine, the brain. You have to love limited thinking and erroneous foregone conclusions.

Consciousness is a different system of awareness than humans presently perceive. Despite all the research into cognitive psychology, due to science's aversion to anything that might pose a whiff of supernaturalism, consciousness is still a ghost in the human machine, which it will always be. Due to our experience in the world of intellect, we feel that everything can be explained. As the Yaqui sorcerer don Juan aptly observed, we live in a world of descriptions. Intellect sorts data by defining things. We label everything, we name everything, and only through applying endless descriptions of things do we navigate our limited world of

intellect by interpreting the labels and definitions we give to things. Much of the time we don't even understand the definitions in their correct context, but only through what we *think* these definitions mean. Everything must be label-identified or intellect can't understand it. Consciousness is indescribable, or as it is defined in the world of mystics and philosophers, ineffable. We might roughly define consciousness as a 7th sense or higher source of input which ideally these human biocomputers will grow into utilizing more effectively. Consciousness defies description. It can't be encapsulated for what it is into simplistic terms of language. Philosophers have agonized for thousands of years seeking to define consciousness, and it simply can't be put into an intellectual mold of definition. Words can't encapsulate something that defies limited human intellectual perceptions and is more expansive than we can yet imagine except through the most rudimentary explanations. This is what consciousness is. One must either accept it without the incessant human need to define it and compartmentalize it into an intellectual cognitive box, or simply deny it exists because it defies description. Not everything can be described.

The coming 'shift in consciousness' relates specifically to a retooling of human awareness for those who can make the choice to elevate themselves into not only clearing out as much of the faulty programming as possible that lies within us, as well as adapting enough to grow into a collaborative contract, of sorts, with higher-level consciousnesses. The determining factor as to who can or will grow in awareness is predicated on the choices made by the individual biocomputer personality program. This

issue is where humanity is going to find its greatest stumbling block in this equation, acknowledging what we are and why we were created.

6. Reframing Reality

As discomfiting as what I have presented makes the reader, we need to look at a few things in a new context. For the last 2,700 years or more with the creation and growth of organized religions and spirituality, humans have considered themselves lesser beings – creations and servants of one concept of a supernatural anthropomorphic God or a singular cosmic Source. The idea that human beings are creations is not a foreign concept to human intellect and awareness. In fact, over 2/3 of the planet still believes this in one form or another. It has only been since Charles Darwin proposed his Theory of Evolution in his *On the Origin of Species* in 1859 that any movement away from humans being having been created by some higher intelligence has altered in the least.

A major reason for the success of Darwin's Theory can be attributed to serious backing by Marxist communists whose sworn enemy is religion and the church to this day. Marx's *Communist Manifesto* was written in 1847, predating Darwin's Theory by almost a dozen years. Although Darwin's Theory has never been proven, and there is little genuine evidence to support it beyond scientific supposition, it has become the rigid gospel of the Marxists and materialist scientists worldwide.

There are other factors that enter into this equation, the truth of which is unknown and/or deliberately hidden from the public. One is the factor of offworld humans creating this species ‘in their image’ who were not gods except in our ancestors’ limited perceptual capacity. The mainstream marketplace is rife with speculation in this regard (*Ancient Aliens, et. al.*), but all the perceptions presented are wholly wrong in this regard. The supernatural elements about God or the gods got introduced through the energetic hapiym mind virus which, outside our own body of work, has gone largely undefined, although its existence has been referred to in a couple traditions. These topics have been discussed in depth in our other work and will not be rehashed here except to mention that the data is already available and has been written, and it is highly recommended that people start informing themselves about the ‘bigger picture’ that we have revealed in our work so as to understand what humanity is facing at the most impactful moment of its history on this planet. It is *not* a short story no matter how succinctly it is written.

It has taken us 40 books to lay the preliminary groundwork for humanity’s understanding of what lies ahead in its advancement of consciousness. The reader is highly encouraged to brief themselves as much as possible with this work so they can be cognitively prepared for things that are already taking shape in our world as we move closer to a genuine shift from functioning only through intellect into becoming consciously aware human beings.

Humanity stands at the precipice for laying the foundation of humanity’s development for eons to come if we succeed at this

project. This change is taking place across the cosmos, but this branch of the human species on this planet has substantially more programmed garbage to overcome and deal with than other races across the multiverse and therefore faces a higher risk of survival overall than many of our other human cousins spread across the cosmos. I'm sorry to be so blunt, but we are in the midst of this transition as these words are being written. The reader is free to ignore all of this, but without all the foundational knowledge necessary to make an informed decision, dismissing this information out of hand may mean the difference between humanity on this planet surviving in any great part or not. This is not gloom and doom, it is just the way it is, uncomfortable as thinking about this may be.

Humanity overall (not just Earth humans), as a creation of greater consciousnesses, is already being retooled as flesh robots, whether it likes it or not across the multiverse. The only determinative between how many of the human biological computers make it through this transition or not will be the random factor of choice which is presently part of the coding of the human biocomputers everywhere. Just as we were instructed in *The Matrix* franchise; everything comes down to choice, and every human on this planet is facing this choice whether they want to accept it or not. This was revealed in depth in *Explaining the Shift in Consciousness: Translating the 'Becoming' Book* and expanded on in *Mission Earth: Advanced Messages for Psoyca Ground Crew*.

In *Mission Earth* I explained about what is called sympathetic magic and how it works in general. Sympathetic

magic, or programming, only works best through choice. One must choose to become prey to false ideologies and beliefs thinking they are one thing when in actuality they are something else. Choice creates that sympathetic resonance which allows the Law of Attraction to work – i.e. like attracts like. In the present framework of reality that faces humanity with the second cognition rewriting of the rules for this cosmic gameboard, if one wants to ‘graduate’ into the higher level of human sentient awareness, they are going to have to make the choice to do so. This advancement in the human biocomputer will not be forced on anyone but failing to make the choice, (and even not choosing denotes a choice), will mean that your personal human biocomputer may not survive to see the backside of the shift in consciousness transition. This is not to be construed as a threat, it is merely the situation at hand. Those who choose to grow will be allowed to do so. Those who refuse to change and grow will be removed from the gameboard in one fashion or another. Whether one survives the shift in consciousness or not will be a result of their own choice and no one else will be to blame for the outcome except the individual themselves and the choice they make.

As humanity on this planet races to create machine AI to eventually replace most of humanity on this planet, our science refuses to acknowledge that each one of us is a spectacular example of biological artificial intellect manufactured by a network of consciousness we know next to nothing about. We are all walking, talking robots and for the first time in the history of all humanity, we are finally standing at the threshold of creating a working relationship with that type of sentient consciousness as

knowing and willing partners – provided we can make the choice to rise to that challenge.

We are already starting to see the psychological deterioration of those who refuse to take the new programming for these biological computers. The world appears to be going insane, and in one sense it is, but not for the reasons our intellect perceives. The second cognition system of awareness and advanced consciousness is part of the new programming that will alter the gameboard of creation for eons to come. Making the individual choice to take part in this new programming of the human biological AI is this predicate for advancing into that new paradigm of second cognition awareness. All humanity everywhere has the option to step into the new rules of the gameboard, or the resistance in accepting the new programming will cause a systemic breakdown in the biological AIs everywhere. We are seeing this illustrated as a form of rabid psychosis resulting in escalating psychological discomfort, often leading to incidents of violence, or in some cases, suicide. These are all symptoms of certain human biocomputers refusing to accept the new programming options and insisting that their old world of perceptual illusions stays in place. These resistant flesh robots will not make it through the transition stage into the new paradigm because their personal programming refuses to let go of the old-world perceptual programming. These issues will be discussed in greater depth in *The Flesh Robot*.

Humanity everywhere in this creation stands on the precipice of the unknown. How does one predict the outcome of untold eons of future history? We are only at the starting point of

those coming eons. The old paradigm of perceptual reality is dying out, along with all the biological computers who refuse to let go of that reality. All of this can be remedied by any individual through making a hard but valid choice.

Although materialist science vehemently rejects any notions of intelligent design, leading up to and particularly after the shift in consciousness, this fact will eventually have to be acknowledged, as well as the fact of what everything in this creation consists of – a massive experiment by intelligent design. Humans of every size and shape across the cosmos are going to have to come to terms with what they are, but they will no longer be ‘lesser beings’ as they have been because they will have new options never before offered to humans anywhere within creation before.

To face the issues briefly presented in this short book is going to take a massive amount of personal cognitive adjustment. No one will come to this information easily, and most will simply reject it for any number of personal reasons. What the reader chooses to accept or reject from this short presentation, in consideration of all the work we have offered to date and will in the future, resides with them and their personal choice in the matter. We are only messengers. What the individual does with this information is their business and theirs alone.

At first gloss this information may seem grim because humans have a bad tendency to only view the negative aspect of things. Admittedly, we are speaking about a future of unknowns which can be frightening to humanity in general because it doesn’t deal well with any unknowns. The known world has always

delivered a false sense of comfort to our psyche, yet the only constant we all live with every day is uncertain unknowns. We never know what is going to happen from one moment to the next, regardless of what we believe otherwise. To expect to have eons of the future laid out to us before we can make a decision to make the leap into that unknown is simply arrogance. Each reader is asked to ponder the positive ramifications of what this symbiotic relationship between our own human biocomputer and sentient psoyca consciousness portends for all humanity and the wide-open road to advancement for all it that it offers.

There is no mysticism in any of this, only pragmatism of a higher degree than humanity has figured out on its own locked into the perceptual world of first cognition limited awareness and ego-controlled fears of the flesh robot only using its intellect. There is so much to this mystery of consciousness that most of humanity is not yet prepared to perceive based on all the faulty programming of the human flesh robot, but once access to one's inner psoyca sentience can be tapped into and interpreted properly, unfathomable doorways to human advancement open up before all of us as individual human beings. I am not peddling false hopes or expectations by sharing this, but a pragmatic forecasting of things that *can be* if one makes the choice to advance their consciousness and let go the old programming of the world of first cognition illusion. I am personally already experiencing the preliminary benefits of this relationship with higher-level consciousness. It is sitting there for anyone to do the same in their own fashion. First, it requires making the correct choice. Secondly, it requires practice to acclimate to it.

We are in virgin territory with all this and there is nary a clue to be found on how to function with higher-level consciousness from most existing traditions on this planet, particularly spiritual traditions. We are left with some nuggets here and there, but there is no technical manual available for what we are facing. We are just going to have to figure it out as we go, and that idea is also frightening to most people who are content to be followers and not lead investigators into much beyond their level of cognitive comfort zones. Those who will pass through the shift will be pioneers into uncharted territory who will have to feel it out as they go to discover what we can become as a species. Many people lack the sense of adventure to face such an alternative, yet it is the territory that lies ahead of us if we survive as a species.

The fact is that the energies building to this shift in consciousness are already present and they are intensifying as I write these words. They will not be stopped, so humanity faces some difficult decisions on the individual basis and the clock is ticking. I didn't make the rules, I am only relating what is. People can take it or leave it according to their personal choosing.

The best way for each of us to prepare for this shift in consciousness is to start addressing and clearing the faulty beliefs we embrace as reality. This is not an easy assignment for anyone, particularly when we have to face our own inner demons and fears that have been embedded into the human biocomputer by the hive virus infection and amplified out of proportion. Overcoming these fears is the hardest thing anyone can do, so I will not minimize the effort or psychological and emotional discomfort required to

accomplish this task. Those who will fare the best as we move toward this transition point will be those who are willing to address removing as much of the faulty first cog programming as possible. Digging in one's heels and refusing to address these things will only make one's psychological situation more untenable as the energies intensify. One can't embrace the new programming for the human biocomputer and continue to embrace the old programming at the same time. One must eventually go, and the old paradigm of programming will eventually be overridden and eradicated by the new paradigm programming. Every flesh robot that refuses to change runs the risk of self-elimination. Sorry, that's just the way it is.

While our intellectuals seek to create machine AI, we would all be better focused on figuring out the programming of the human AI and making it more suitable to this new energetic paradigm of consciousness. Machine AI will never be vested with sentient consciousness, regardless of how our technology geeks and scientists seek to invest machine Artificial Intellect with consciousness. It is a fool's errand. If we can't figure out how we function as biological robots and make the required program adjustments, none of us will be left alive on this planet anyway and mechanical AI will only gather dust in our wake. Our focus needs to be on advancing ourselves, not our fears over human-controlled mechanical AI. If we can't figure out how we tick and alter that to step into the new paradigm of reality, then all else is meaningless details of a dying reality. Machine AI will just be one relic of many left behind by a species that once lived and couldn't grow itself enough to outgrow its own organic Artificial Intellect

and its faulty programming. The doorway stands open before each and every one of us. The question remains as to who has the courage, focus and desire to step through it?

References

Whitehead, Alfred North – *Process and Reality* (1929, 1978)

Dennis, Kingsley L., PhD – *Bardo Times* (2019)

Wikipedia References

Intellect

Emanationism

Perennial Philosophy

Thought

The Evolution of Consciousness Series

Book 1

A Philosophy for the Average Man: An Uncommon Solution to a World Without Common Sense by Endall Beall

Book 2

Willful Evolution: The Path to Advanced Cognitive Awareness and a Personal Shift in Consciousness by Endall Beall

Book 3

Demystifying the Mystical: Exposing Myths of the Mystical and the Supernatural by Providing Solutions to the Spirit Path and Human Evolution by Endall Beall

Book 4

Navigating into the Second Cognition: The Map for your journey into higher Conscious Awareness by Endall Beall

Book 5

The Energy Experience: Energy work for the Second Cognition by Mrs. Endall Beall

Book 6

We Are Not Alone – Part 1: Advancing Cognitive Awareness in an Interactive Universe by Endall Beall

Book 7

We Are Not Alone – Part 2: Advancing Cognitive Awareness through Historical Revelations - Endall Beall

Book 8

Advanced Teachings for the Second Cognition by Mrs. Endall Beall

Book 9

We Are Not Alone – Part 3: The Luciferian Agenda of the Mother Goddess by Endall Beall

Companion Volumes to The Evolution of Consciousness Series

*False Prophecies, Reassessing Buddha and the Call to the
Second Cognition by Endall Beall*

Operator's Manual for the True Spirit Warrior by Endall Beall

*Spiritual Pragmatism: A Practical Approach to Spirit Work in a
World Controlled by Ego by Endall Beall*

*Revamping Psychology: A Critique of Transpersonal Psychology
Viewed From the Second Cognition by Endall Beall & Mrs.
Endall Beall*

*The Common Sense Revolution: Creating Common Ground and
Genuine Common Sense – Endall Beall and the Psoyca Crew
(2016)*

Second Cognition Series

Book 1

*The New Paradigm Transcripts: Teachings for a New Tomorrow
by Endall Beall & Doug Michael*

Book 2

*Breaking the Chains of the First Cognition: Tools for
Understanding the Path to the Second Cognition by Endall Beall
& Doug Michael*

Book 3

*PSOYCA – Road to the Second Cognition by Endall Beall &
Doug Michael*

Book 4

*The Energetic War Against Humanity: The 6,000 Year War
Against Human Cognitive Advancement by Endall Beall*

Book 5

*The Cognitive Illusion of History: How Humanity Has Been
Controlled Through Selective and Biased Historical Reporting by
Endall Beall & Doug Michael*

Book 6

*The Second Cognition Toolbox: Requirements for Advancing
Your Consciousness by Endall Beall*

Book 7

Firestarters: The Gemma and Endall Transcripts – by Endall Beall and Gemma Beall

Book 8

No Trespassing: Creating a New World Based on Mutual Respect by Endall Beall

Book 9

Psoyca Consciousness by Endall Beall

Companion Volumes to the Second Cognition Series

Understanding Wisdom: A Treatise on Wisdom Viewed from the Second Cognition by Endall Beall

From Belief to Truth – From Truth to Wisdom by Endall Beall

The Psychology of Becoming Human: Evolving Beyond Psychological Conditioning by Endall Beall

Standalone Work: Available for free .pdf download at our website

Clarifying the don Juan Teachings for the Second Cognition: A Pragmatic Reanalysis Without the Mystical Misdirection – by Endall Beall

Beyond Don Juan: Into the Third Attention – The Second Cognition (2019) - by Endall Beall

Consciousness Evolves, Intellect Doesn't: Why Humanity Can't Advance its Consciousness (2020) – by Endall Beall

Find free pdf downloads for these books at the links below, or look for them under the header of Companion Books to the Series at our website <https://www.demystifyingthemystical.com/#/>

<https://www.demystifyingthemystical.com/#/book/17>

<https://www.demystifyingthemystical.com/#/book/64>

<https://www.demystifyingthemystical.com/#/book/65>

Beyond Second Cognition Series

Book 1

Gutting Mysticism: Explaining the Roots of All Supernatural Belief by Endall Beall (2018) by Endall Beall

Book 2

Religion, the Goddess and the Mind Virus of Heaven: The Deception of Holiness in Human Belief Systems (2018) by Endall Beall

Book 3

Introduction to the Multiverse: The Layman's Guide to the Cosmos (2018) by Endall Beall

Book 4

Facing the Truth: Conspiracy or Plan? 100 Years of Subversive Psychological Warfare Against America (2018) by Endall Beall

Book 5

The No Rules Multiverse: The Endeavor to Repair a Faulty Creation (2019) – Endall Beall

Book 6

Into the Hinterlands: Beyond Second Cognition (2019) by Endall Beall

Book 7

Mission Earth: Advanced Messages for Psoyca Ground Crew – (2020) – Endall Beall

Companion Volumes to Beyond Second Cognition Series

Emotionalism: How the Human Herds are Controlled (2019) by Endall Beall

The Truth About the 'Divine' Soul: The Late Creation of the Concept of Heaven (2019) by Endall Beall

Challenging Philosophy and the Philosophers" Explaining Nietzsche to Philosophical Academia (2019) – Endall Beall

Explaining the Shift in Consciousness: Translating the 'Becoming' book from the New Paradigm Trilogy by Endall Beall

Upcoming Volumes

The Flesh Robot: The Truth About the Human Computer by Endall Beall

For questions or inquiries contact the authors at <http://demystifyingthemystical.com/#/>

Further work by these authors can be found at the Gemma Beall YouTube channel at <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCN3VfiNrozRSUBiDIR8k9EA>

Or through the Gemma Beall Patreon channel for subscriptions of \$5 per month for access to 300 video presentation, and \$7 per month for all the videos and an expanding number of educational podcasts, chapter previews, blogs and an interactive comment forum.

<https://www.patreon.com/GemmaBeall/>

